

Poverty Status in 1999

Geography	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined			Families			Households		
	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined	Population With Income Below Poverty	% Population With Income Below Poverty	Total Families	Families With Income Below Poverty	% Families With Income Below Poverty	Total Households	Households With Income Below Poverty	% Households With Income Below Poverty
Arizona	5,021,238	698,669	13.9%	1,296,593	128,318	9.9%	1,901,625	224,108	11.8%
Apache County	68,212	25,798	37.8%	15,258	5,108	33.5%	19,932	7,316	36.7%
Cochise County	111,867	19,772	17.7%	31,017	4,195	13.5%	43,896	6,880	15.7%
Coconino County	113,076	20,609	18.2%	27,166	3,549	13.1%	40,386	6,506	16.1%
Gila County	50,265	8,752	17.4%	14,216	1,785	12.6%	20,165	2,990	14.8%
Graham County	30,242	6,952	23.0%	7,683	1,363	17.7%	10,120	2,015	19.9%
Greenlee County	8,475	842	9.9%	2,276	181	8.0%	3,131	341	10.9%
La Paz County	19,383	3,798	19.6%	5,629	764	13.6%	8,392	1,472	17.5%
Maricopa County	3,027,299	355,668	11.7%	768,800	61,519	8.0%	1,133,048	109,392	9.7%
Mohave County	153,062	21,252	13.9%	43,709	4,277	9.8%	62,796	7,703	12.3%
Navajo County	95,084	28,054	29.5%	23,160	5,410	23.4%	30,055	7,773	25.9%
Pima County	823,638	120,778	14.7%	213,704	22,432	10.5%	332,497	44,168	13.3%
Pinal County	164,506	27,816	16.9%	45,464	5,486	12.1%	61,413	8,602	14.0%
Santa Cruz County	38,215	9,356	24.5%	9,588	2,056	21.4%	11,821	2,706	22.9%
Yavapai County	163,663	19,552	11.9%	46,944	3,703	7.9%	70,069	7,731	11.0%
Yuma County	154,251	29,670	19.2%	41,979	6,490	15.5%	53,904	8,513	15.8%
Places (Pima County Places Bolded)									
Ajo CDP	3,710	827	22.3%	1,129	186	16.5%	1,647	321	19.5%
Ak-Chin Village CDP	653	175	26.8%	133	33	24.8%	163	45	27.6%
Amado CDP	274	24	8.8%	56	4	7.1%	134	15	11.2%
Apache Junction city	31,166	3,617	11.6%	8,937	655	7.3%	13,559	1,403	10.3%
Arizona City CDP	4,159	259	6.2%	1,376	73	5.3%	1,777	116	6.5%
Arizona Village CDP	326	73	22.4%	74	17	23.0%	83	17	20.5%
Ash Fork CDP	525	107	20.4%	133	22	16.5%	183	42	23.0%
Avondale city	35,471	4,905	13.8%	8,782	906	10.3%	10,654	1,187	11.1%
Avra Valley CDP	5,065	585	11.5%	1,338	121	9.0%	1,712	186	10.9%
Bagdad CDP	1,588	46	2.9%	463	14	3.0%	579	18	3.1%
Benson city	4,717	644	13.7%	1,442	90	6.2%	2,115	284	13.4%
Big Park CDP	4,956	417	8.4%	1,494	87	5.8%	2,567	224	8.7%

Poverty Status in 1999

Geography	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined			Families			Households		
	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined	Population With Income Below Poverty	% Population With Income Below Poverty	Total Families	Families With Income Below Poverty	% Families With Income Below Poverty	Total Households	Households With Income Below Poverty	% Households With Income Below Poverty
Bisbee city	5,985	1,046	17.5%	1,476	190	12.9%	2,751	479	17.4%
Bitter Springs CDP	402	119	29.6%	88	22	25.0%	103	37	35.9%
Black Canyon City CDP	2,684	347	12.9%	787	60	7.6%	1,275	148	11.6%
Blackwater CDP	509	137	26.9%	103	31	30.1%	109	31	28.4%
Bluewater CDP	771	83	10.8%	229	16	7.0%	350	32	9.1%
Bouse CDP	842	177	21.0%	282	28	9.9%	443	98	22.1%
Buckeye town	6,393	1,200	18.8%	1,615	262	16.2%	2,140	388	18.1%
Bullhead City city	33,565	5,074	15.1%	9,263	1,046	11.3%	13,902	1,747	12.6%
Burnside CDP	576	224	38.9%	134	41	30.6%	164	58	35.4%
Cameron CDP	1,023	373	36.5%	187	71	38.0%	210	89	42.4%
Camp Verde town	9,275	1,298	14.0%	2,558	244	9.5%	3,663	435	11.9%
Canyon Day CDP	1,061	424	40.0%	211	68	32.2%	257	107	41.6%
Carefree town	2,920	92	3.2%	949	19	2.0%	1,345	57	4.2%
Casa Grande city	25,089	4,024	16.0%	6,481	801	12.4%	8,834	1,311	14.8%
Casas Adobes CDP	53,503	2,832	5.3%	14,584	568	3.9%	22,007	1,215	5.5%
Catalina CDP	6,988	677	9.7%	1,956	154	7.9%	2,580	240	9.3%
Catalina Foothills CDP	53,316	2,310	4.3%	15,707	376	2.4%	23,903	1,141	4.8%
Cave Creek town	3,681	283	7.7%	1,086	65	6.0%	1,555	114	7.3%
Central Heights-Midland City CDP	2,624	437	16.7%	783	117	14.9%	1,048	166	15.8%
Chandler city	175,150	11,632	6.6%	45,603	2,090	4.6%	62,289	3,523	5.7%
Chilchinbito CDP	473	234	49.5%	87	32	36.8%	97	42	43.3%
Chinle CDP	5,411	2,356	43.5%	1,102	445	40.4%	1,384	528	38.2%
Chino Valley town	7,982	1,235	15.5%	2,195	277	12.6%	3,074	462	15.0%
Chuichu CDP	317	70	22.1%	70	9	12.9%	81	20	24.7%
Cibecue CDP	1,160	797	68.7%	230	128	55.7%	314	181	57.6%
Cibola CDP	151	19	12.6%	39	0	0.0%	77	8	10.4%
Clarkdale town	3,524	364	10.3%	1,011	75	7.4%	1,442	148	10.3%
Claypool CDP	1,784	216	12.1%	488	31	6.4%	711	90	12.7%
Clifton town	2,545	292	11.5%	689	56	8.1%	940	96	10.2%
Colorado City town	3,598	1,147	31.9%	438	127	29.0%	462	137	29.7%
Congress CDP	1,672	207	12.4%	601	60	10.0%	819	104	12.7%

Poverty Status in 1999

Geography	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined			Families			Households		
	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined	Population With Income Below Poverty	% Population With Income Below Poverty	Total Families	Families With Income Below Poverty	% Families With Income Below Poverty	Total Households	Households With Income Below Poverty	% Households With Income Below Poverty
Coolidge city	7,755	1,914	24.7%	1,967	412	20.9%	2,590	632	24.4%
Cordes Lakes CDP	2,063	365	17.7%	580	73	12.6%	838	109	13.0%
Cornville CDP	3,444	549	15.9%	976	107	11.0%	1,376	192	14.0%
Corona de Tucson CDP	830	8	1.0%	287	0	0.0%	398	0	0.0%
Cottonwood city	8,996	1,211	13.5%	2,402	213	8.9%	3,943	564	14.3%
Cottonwood-Verde Village CDP	10,349	901	8.7%	3,036	203	6.7%	4,101	297	7.2%
Dennehotso CDP	838	340	40.6%	146	54	37.0%	175	77	44.0%
Desert Hills CDP	2,198	309	14.1%	714	75	10.5%	1,036	159	15.3%
Dewey-Humboldt CDP	6,492	565	8.7%	2,077	100	4.8%	2,860	184	6.4%
Dilkon CDP	1,377	814	59.1%	289	162	56.1%	333	179	53.8%
Dolan Springs CDP	1,917	469	24.5%	550	97	17.6%	926	231	24.9%
Douglas city	13,715	5,015	36.6%	3,447	1,108	32.1%	4,530	1,431	31.6%
Drexel-Alvernon CDP	4,261	790	18.5%	976	161	16.5%	1,329	222	16.7%
Drexel Heights CDP	23,525	2,854	12.1%	5,957	592	9.9%	7,442	875	11.8%
Dudleyville CDP	1,276	173	13.6%	324	28	8.6%	467	43	9.2%
Duncan town	806	133	16.5%	215	30	14.0%	306	66	21.6%
Eagar town	4,070	303	7.4%	1,078	84	7.8%	1,372	128	9.3%
East Fork CDP	1,004	541	53.9%	182	80	44.0%	237	128	54.0%
East Sahuarita CDP	1,482	356	24.0%	375	59	15.7%	475	91	19.2%
Ehrenberg CDP	1,349	306	22.7%	352	60	17.0%	558	99	17.7%
Elgin CDP	263	35	13.3%	82	8	9.8%	118	14	11.9%
El Mirage city	7,441	1,181	15.9%	1,702	214	12.6%	2,063	320	15.5%
Eloy city	8,762	2,796	31.9%	2,000	557	27.9%	2,529	821	32.5%
First Mesa CDP	1,198	542	45.2%	266	97	36.5%	296	122	41.2%
Flagstaff city	50,269	8,751	17.4%	11,841	1,255	10.6%	19,355	3,146	16.3%
Florence town	5,292	372	7.0%	1,534	94	6.1%	2,234	184	8.2%
Flowing Wells CDP	14,962	2,560	17.1%	3,898	591	15.2%	6,259	980	15.7%
Fort Defiance CDP	4,006	1,182	29.5%	863	241	27.9%	1,101	309	28.1%
Fortuna Foothills CDP	20,913	2,209	10.6%	7,513	549	7.3%	9,825	856	8.7%
Fountain Hills town	20,180	832	4.1%	6,451	142	2.2%	8,625	340	3.9%
Fredonia town	993	149	15.0%	285	35	12.3%	342	42	12.3%

Poverty Status in 1999

Geography	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined			Families			Households		
	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined	Population With Income Below Poverty	% Population With Income Below Poverty	Total Families	Families With Income Below Poverty	% Families With Income Below Poverty	Total Households	Households With Income Below Poverty	% Households With Income Below Poverty
Gadsden CDP	924	418	45.2%	216	90	41.7%	232	94	40.5%
Ganado CDP	1,798	329	18.3%	409	42	10.3%	535	71	13.3%
Gila Bend town	1,941	481	24.8%	460	102	22.2%	647	167	25.8%
Gilbert town	109,547	3,529	3.2%	29,169	728	2.5%	35,512	1,065	3.0%
Gisela CDP	492	55	11.2%	154	9	5.8%	227	30	13.2%
Glendale city	215,389	25,688	11.9%	54,744	4,820	8.8%	75,697	7,813	10.3%
Globe city	6,929	793	11.4%	1,873	164	8.8%	2,858	325	11.4%
Gold Camp CDP	5,684	216	3.8%	2,074	59	2.8%	2,686	103	3.8%
Golden Valley CDP	4,568	700	15.3%	1,333	141	10.6%	1,828	243	13.3%
Goodyear city	16,365	1,005	6.1%	4,890	178	3.6%	6,051	266	4.4%
Grand Canyon Village CDP	1,509	72	4.8%	348	6	1.7%	638	16	2.5%
Greasewood CDP	489	213	43.6%	109	46	42.2%	135	66	48.9%
Green Valley CDP	17,184	510	3.0%	6,271	106	1.7%	10,058	352	3.5%
Guadalupe town	5,207	1,391	26.7%	964	234	24.3%	1,105	331	30.0%
Hayden town	902	246	27.3%	209	42	20.1%	291	65	22.3%
Heber-Overgaard CDP	2,554	419	16.4%	745	87	11.7%	1,131	171	15.1%
Holbrook city	4,768	957	20.1%	1,214	202	16.6%	1,629	264	16.2%
Hotevilla-Bacavi CDP	769	534	69.4%	192	113	58.9%	231	152	65.8%
Houck CDP	1,047	560	53.5%	208	112	53.8%	298	176	59.1%
Huachuca City town	1,710	330	19.3%	443	61	13.8%	725	139	19.2%
Jeddito CDP	345	157	45.5%	78	33	42.3%	96	33	34.4%
Jerome town	304	46	15.1%	72	3	4.2%	160	25	15.6%
Kachina Village CDP	2,754	231	8.4%	661	29	4.4%	1,021	52	5.1%
Kaibab CDP	254	75	29.5%	71	19	26.8%	91	24	26.4%
Kaibito CDP	1,731	498	28.8%	303	78	25.7%	327	78	23.9%
Kayenta CDP	4,937	1,682	34.1%	1,028	303	29.5%	1,194	364	30.5%
Keams Canyon CDP	141	33	23.4%	38	4	10.5%	63	11	17.5%
Kearny town	2,243	296	13.2%	636	77	12.1%	821	111	13.5%
Kingman city	19,016	2,207	11.6%	5,448	446	8.2%	7,773	908	11.7%
Kykotsmovi Village CDP	817	203	24.8%	175	42	24.0%	267	85	31.8%
Lake Havasu City city	41,380	3,946	9.5%	12,749	838	6.6%	17,837	1,467	8.2%

Poverty Status in 1999

Geography	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined			Families			Households		
	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined	Population With Income Below Poverty	% Population With Income Below Poverty	Total Families	Families With Income Below Poverty	% Families With Income Below Poverty	Total Households	Households With Income Below Poverty	% Households With Income Below Poverty
Lake Montezuma CDP	3,163	288	9.1%	928	67	7.2%	1,423	117	8.2%
Lechee CDP	1,585	245	15.5%	327	53	16.2%	341	62	18.2%
Leupp CDP	968	323	33.4%	221	65	29.4%	262	94	35.9%
Litchfield Park city	3,773	157	4.2%	1,177	32	2.7%	1,525	65	4.3%
Littleton CDP	1,352	131	9.7%	339	26	7.7%	356	30	8.4%
Lukachukai CDP	1,576	975	61.9%	320	208	65.0%	395	267	67.6%
McNary CDP	331	286	86.4%	71	56	78.9%	94	69	73.4%
Mammoth town	1,792	503	28.1%	454	108	23.8%	561	142	25.3%
Many Farms CDP	1,617	513	31.7%	312	88	28.2%	455	124	27.3%
Marana town	12,983	810	6.2%	3,841	211	5.5%	4,934	327	6.6%
Maricopa CDP	1,048	245	23.4%	194	37	19.1%	281	53	18.9%
Mayer CDP	1,404	268	19.1%	397	56	14.1%	615	153	24.9%
Mesa city	392,911	35,031	8.9%	100,669	6,249	6.2%	146,700	11,543	7.9%
Mesquite Creek CDP	225	15	6.7%	89	0	0.0%	124	12	9.7%
Miami town	1,917	453	23.6%	502	103	20.5%	705	170	24.1%
Moenkopi CDP	820	113	13.8%	179	28	15.6%	202	28	13.9%
Mohave Valley CDP	13,442	1,473	11.0%	3,819	303	7.9%	5,250	483	9.2%
Mojave Ranch Estates CDP	32	0	0.0%	5	0	0.0%	5	0	0.0%
Morenci CDP	1,859	56	3.0%	444	12	2.7%	643	27	4.2%
Mountaineer CDP	933	69	7.4%	226	12	5.3%	417	23	5.5%
Munds Park CDP	1,299	102	7.9%	383	17	4.4%	587	41	7.0%
Naco CDP	853	292	34.2%	200	66	33.0%	264	88	33.3%
Nazlini CDP	369	272	73.7%	81	60	74.1%	103	67	65.0%
New Kingman-Butler CDP	14,963	2,722	18.2%	4,059	539	13.3%	5,989	1,027	17.1%
New River CDP	10,743	617	5.7%	3,103	112	3.6%	3,944	231	5.9%
Nogales city	20,707	7,019	33.9%	4,999	1,538	30.8%	5,968	1,951	32.7%
Oljato-Monument Valley CDP	52	28	53.8%	14	6	42.9%	30	22	73.3%
Oracle CDP	3,505	352	10.0%	962	77	8.0%	1,365	143	10.5%
Oro Valley town	29,613	929	3.1%	9,338	223	2.4%	12,307	420	3.4%
Page city	6,802	947	13.9%	1,776	227	12.8%	2,345	260	11.1%
Paradise Valley town	13,629	334	2.5%	4,191	80	1.9%	5,072	93	1.8%

Poverty Status in 1999

Geography	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined			Families			Households		
	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined	Population With Income Below Poverty	% Population With Income Below Poverty	Total Families	Families With Income Below Poverty	% Families With Income Below Poverty	Total Households	Households With Income Below Poverty	% Households With Income Below Poverty
Parker town	3,119	460	14.7%	781	83	10.6%	1,062	133	12.5%
Parker Strip CDP	3,294	523	15.9%	961	105	10.9%	1,591	242	15.2%
Parks CDP	1,160	107	9.2%	344	22	6.4%	459	46	10.0%
Patagonia town	854	214	25.1%	228	41	18.0%	392	90	23.0%
Paulden CDP	3,380	584	17.3%	910	129	14.2%	1,069	175	16.4%
Payson town	13,744	1,360	9.9%	4,201	274	6.5%	5,924	588	9.9%
Peach Springs CDP	777	284	36.6%	186	71	38.2%	207	82	39.6%
Peoples Valley CDP	351	34	9.7%	115	8	7.0%	171	15	8.8%
Peoria city	107,094	5,627	5.3%	29,403	968	3.3%	39,286	1,853	4.7%
Peridot CDP	1,225	721	58.9%	261	129	49.4%	304	146	48.0%
Phoenix city	1,300,100	205,320	15.8%	310,327	35,590	11.5%	466,114	57,687	12.4%
Picture Rocks CDP	7,851	589	7.5%	2,180	94	4.3%	2,875	149	5.2%
Pima town	2,097	406	19.4%	566	85	15.0%	726	143	19.7%
Pine CDP	1,896	176	9.3%	613	31	5.1%	883	83	9.4%
Pinetop-Lakeside town	3,523	355	10.1%	974	64	6.6%	1,436	140	9.7%
Pinon CDP	1,027	551	53.7%	219	114	52.1%	279	150	53.8%
Pirtleville CDP	1,542	590	38.3%	359	108	30.1%	464	166	35.8%
Pisinemo CDP	215	108	50.2%	43	21	48.8%	53	25	47.2%
Poston CDP	445	206	46.3%	106	44	41.5%	123	49	39.8%
Prescott city	32,519	4,256	13.1%	9,178	675	7.4%	15,405	1,970	12.8%
Prescott Valley town	23,348	2,552	10.9%	6,714	526	7.8%	8,973	871	9.7%
Quartzsite town	3,397	457	13.5%	1,171	91	7.8%	1,842	263	14.3%
Queen Creek town	4,317	397	9.2%	1,147	69	6.0%	1,283	98	7.6%
Queen Valley CDP	716	42	5.9%	263	0	0.0%	380	26	6.8%
Red Mesa CDP	363	158	43.5%	81	27	33.3%	93	27	29.0%
Rio Rico Northeast CDP	2,974	209	7.0%	783	37	4.7%	933	75	8.0%
Rio Rico Northwest CDP	2,939	464	15.8%	660	97	14.7%	787	108	13.7%
Rio Rico Southeast CDP	1,779	226	12.7%	454	63	13.9%	513	70	13.6%
Rio Rico Southwest CDP	2,769	339	12.2%	648	63	9.7%	701	63	9.0%
Rio Verde CDP	1,461	25	1.7%	665	0	0.0%	758	25	3.3%
Rock Point CDP	968	358	37.0%	202	67	33.2%	231	79	34.2%

Poverty Status in 1999

Geography	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined			Families			Households		
	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined	Population With Income Below Poverty	% Population With Income Below Poverty	Total Families	Families With Income Below Poverty	% Families With Income Below Poverty	Total Households	Households With Income Below Poverty	% Households With Income Below Poverty
Rough Rock CDP	607	181	29.8%	118	28	23.7%	126	36	28.6%
Round Rock CDP	581	332	57.1%	108	56	51.9%	133	72	54.1%
Sacaton CDP	1,285	513	39.9%	275	100	36.4%	364	137	37.6%
Safford city	9,025	1,565	17.3%	2,416	336	13.9%	3,332	512	15.4%
Sahuarita town	3,221	182	5.7%	956	38	4.0%	1,169	62	5.3%
St. David CDP	1,592	193	12.1%	392	34	8.7%	591	71	12.0%
St. Johns city	3,148	481	15.3%	815	102	12.5%	989	133	13.4%
St. Michaels CDP	1,236	277	22.4%	237	48	20.3%	301	56	18.6%
Salome CDP	1,131	264	23.3%	341	57	16.7%	544	111	20.4%
San Carlos CDP	3,804	2,236	58.8%	760	437	57.5%	927	524	56.5%
San Luis city	12,989	4,645	35.8%	2,918	1,058	36.3%	3,018	1,109	36.7%
San Manuel CDP	4,350	558	12.8%	1,191	123	10.3%	1,447	150	10.4%
Santan CDP	599	277	46.2%	136	63	46.3%	153	63	41.2%
Santa Rosa CDP	442	226	51.1%	84	42	50.0%	129	71	55.0%
Sawmill CDP	639	342	53.5%	119	56	47.1%	157	78	49.7%
Scottsdale city	201,648	11,650	5.8%	54,792	1,864	3.4%	90,602	5,285	5.8%
Second Mesa CDP	788	286	36.3%	150	49	32.7%	176	62	35.2%
Sedona city	10,161	986	9.7%	2,890	136	4.7%	4,914	417	8.5%
Seligman CDP	549	93	16.9%	146	21	14.4%	228	43	18.9%
Sells CDP	2,852	1,311	46.0%	594	249	41.9%	687	298	43.4%
Shongopovi CDP	630	348	55.2%	141	72	51.1%	160	77	48.1%
Shonto CDP	578	81	14.0%	139	23	16.5%	146	23	15.8%
Show Low city	7,571	1,134	15.0%	2,148	251	11.7%	2,862	371	13.0%
Sierra Vista city	34,624	3,630	10.5%	9,926	795	8.0%	14,083	1,325	9.4%
Sierra Vista Southeast CDP	14,706	1,074	7.3%	4,238	229	5.4%	5,516	390	7.1%
Snowflake town	4,451	668	15.0%	1,096	114	10.4%	1,301	166	12.8%
Somerton city	7,248	1,928	26.6%	1,657	397	24.0%	1,829	446	24.4%
Sonoita CDP	856	42	4.9%	284	8	2.8%	378	18	4.8%
South Tucson city	5,428	2,525	46.5%	1,113	484	43.5%	1,794	792	44.1%
Springerville town	1,940	407	21.0%	497	73	14.7%	716	144	20.1%
Spring Valley CDP	1,007	110	10.9%	285	5	1.8%	420	57	13.6%

Poverty Status in 1999

Geography	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined			Families			Households		
	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined	Population With Income Below Poverty	% Population With Income Below Poverty	Total Families	Families With Income Below Poverty	% Families With Income Below Poverty	Total Households	Households With Income Below Poverty	% Households With Income Below Poverty
Stanfield CDP	645	210	32.6%	156	50	32.1%	196	60	30.6%
Steamboat CDP	175	132	75.4%	47	39	83.0%	47	39	83.0%
Strawberry CDP	1,025	111	10.8%	347	24	6.9%	491	48	9.8%
Summit CDP	3,274	859	26.2%	801	176	22.0%	1,036	192	18.5%
Sun City CDP	37,586	1,733	4.6%	12,475	318	2.5%	23,390	1,249	5.3%
Sun City West CDP	26,071	476	1.8%	10,400	78	0.8%	14,943	335	2.2%
Sun Lakes CDP	11,946	335	2.8%	4,774	97	2.0%	6,659	202	3.0%
Sun Valley CDP	1,288	125	9.7%	364	17	4.7%	623	76	12.2%
Supai CDP	0	0	#DIV/0!	0	0	#DIV/0!	0	0	#DIV/0!
Superior town	3,255	906	27.8%	849	191	22.5%	1,234	279	22.6%
Surprise city	30,763	2,689	8.7%	9,785	550	5.6%	12,474	764	6.1%
Swift Trail Junction CDP	1,308	181	13.8%	308	32	10.4%	505	57	11.3%
Tacna CDP	562	141	25.1%	147	33	22.4%	188	42	22.3%
Tanque Verde CDP	16,520	393	2.4%	5,082	75	1.5%	6,030	125	2.1%
Taylor town	3,200	479	15.0%	786	109	13.9%	983	149	15.2%
Teec Nos Pos CDP	941	412	43.8%	195	81	41.5%	246	123	50.0%
Tempe city	152,879	21,904	14.3%	33,923	2,555	7.5%	63,486	8,813	13.9%
Thatcher town	3,751	758	20.2%	962	123	12.8%	1,274	203	15.9%
Three Points CDP	5,225	1,156	22.1%	1,301	234	18.0%	1,756	322	18.3%
Tolleson city	4,949	676	13.7%	1,153	114	9.9%	1,424	195	13.7%
Tombstone city	1,490	260	17.4%	423	55	13.0%	686	116	16.9%
Tonalea CDP	668	91	13.6%	120	13	10.8%	134	13	9.7%
Tonto Basin CDP	812	149	18.3%	276	44	15.9%	429	81	18.9%
Top-of-the-World CDP	424	22	5.2%	143	8	5.6%	177	8	4.5%
Tortolita CDP	3,602	189	5.2%	974	56	5.7%	1,310	65	5.0%
Tsaile CDP	1,022	646	63.2%	201	120	59.7%	246	141	57.3%
Tubac CDP	845	56	6.6%	285	6	2.1%	418	44	10.5%
Tuba City CDP	8,007	2,254	28.2%	1,655	382	23.1%	2,040	469	23.0%
Tucson city	469,210	86,532	18.4%	113,706	15,525	13.7%	192,884	32,528	16.9%
Tucson Estates CDP	9,900	766	7.7%	2,927	181	6.2%	4,292	327	7.6%
Tumacacori-Carmen CDP	511	55	10.8%	149	15	10.1%	212	37	17.5%

Poverty Status in 1999

Geography	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined			Families			Households		
	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined	Population With Income Below Poverty	% Population With Income Below Poverty	Total Families	Families With Income Below Poverty	% Families With Income Below Poverty	Total Households	Households With Income Below Poverty	% Households With Income Below Poverty
Tusayan CDP	539	98	18.2%	114	17	14.9%	217	40	18.4%
Vail CDP	2,158	136	6.3%	592	36	6.1%	785	40	5.1%
Valencia West CDP	2,451	672	27.4%	568	148	26.1%	725	175	24.1%
Wellton town	1,932	412	21.3%	608	98	16.1%	768	133	17.3%
Wenden CDP	873	272	31.2%	255	64	25.1%	344	79	23.0%
Whetstone CDP	2,300	460	20.0%	671	90	13.4%	887	131	14.8%
Whiteriver CDP	5,223	2,696	51.6%	1,089	511	46.9%	1,306	625	47.9%
Wickenburg town	4,983	566	11.4%	1,399	97	6.9%	2,298	262	11.4%
Wilhoit CDP	637	107	16.8%	178	18	10.1%	280	56	20.0%
Willcox city	3,561	963	27.0%	969	209	21.6%	1,363	368	27.0%
Williams city	2,845	364	12.8%	729	72	9.9%	1,044	109	10.4%
Williamson CDP	3,899	205	5.3%	1,318	47	3.6%	1,593	81	5.1%
Willow Valley CDP	553	0	0.0%	187	0	0.0%	226	0	0.0%
Window Rock CDP	3,008	741	24.6%	714	176	24.6%	846	188	22.2%
Winkelman town	452	123	27.2%	110	22	20.0%	164	45	27.4%
Winslow city	7,895	1,652	20.9%	2,009	351	17.5%	2,780	522	18.8%
Winslow West CDP	155	106	68.4%	36	32	88.9%	36	32	88.9%
Yarnell CDP	702	98	14.0%	200	23	11.5%	378	55	14.6%
Young CDP	542	111	20.5%	167	28	16.8%	247	53	21.5%
Youngtown town	2,853	375	13.1%	750	72	9.6%	1,638	191	11.7%
Yuma city	74,347	10,910	14.7%	19,748	2,395	12.1%	26,740	3,578	13.4%
Pima County Census Tracts									
Census Tract 1	615	301	48.9%	40	0	0.0%	406	202	49.8%
Census Tract 2	4,719	1,105	23.4%	1,085	218	20.1%	1,998	530	26.5%
Census Tract 3	1,457	447	30.7%	283	74	26.1%	594	149	25.1%
Census Tract 4	3,122	1,043	33.4%	466	102	21.9%	1,767	533	30.2%
Census Tract 5	3,177	1,377	43.3%	320	61	19.1%	1,765	748	42.4%
Census Tract 6	4,630	799	17.3%	988	37	3.7%	2,210	360	16.3%
Census Tract 7	5,092	873	17.1%	1,218	165	13.5%	2,286	451	19.7%
Census Tract 8	1,547	321	20.7%	312	45	14.4%	651	167	25.7%

Poverty Status in 1999

Geography	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined			Families			Households		
	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined	Population With Income Below Poverty	% Population With Income Below Poverty	Total Families	Families With Income Below Poverty	% Families With Income Below Poverty	Total Households	Households With Income Below Poverty	% Households With Income Below Poverty
Census Tract 9	2,866	958	33.4%	551	149	27.0%	1,219	351	28.8%
Census Tract 10	676	225	33.3%	140	46	32.9%	301	120	39.9%
Census Tract 11	2,958	645	21.8%	694	131	18.9%	852	170	20.0%
Census Tract 12	3,593	722	20.1%	815	159	19.5%	1,111	237	21.3%
Census Tract 13.01	8,430	3,050	36.2%	1,792	585	32.6%	3,649	1,166	32.0%
Census Tract 13.02	2,131	917	43.0%	375	116	30.9%	1,022	496	48.5%
Census Tract 14	5,166	1,737	33.6%	803	129	16.1%	2,303	738	32.0%
Census Tract 15	4,637	1,388	29.9%	764	72	9.4%	2,349	653	27.8%
Census Tract 16	3,786	659	17.4%	840	72	8.6%	1,914	349	18.2%
Census Tract 17	3,012	423	14.0%	717	57	7.9%	1,501	189	12.6%
Census Tract 18	7,959	1,828	23.0%	1,729	338	19.5%	4,043	751	18.6%
Census Tract 19	3,675	562	15.3%	863	66	7.6%	1,846	257	13.9%
Census Tract 20	7,509	1,587	21.1%	1,760	248	14.1%	2,672	443	16.6%
Census Tract 21	6,586	2,260	34.3%	1,448	402	27.8%	1,804	502	27.8%
Census Tract 22	6,538	1,903	29.1%	1,472	415	28.2%	1,957	548	28.0%
Census Tract 23	5,428	2,525	46.5%	1,113	484	43.5%	1,794	792	44.1%
Census Tract 24	6,115	2,185	35.7%	1,401	430	30.7%	1,810	582	32.2%
Census Tract 25.01	4,220	935	22.2%	1,014	195	19.2%	1,249	268	21.5%
Census Tract 25.03	3,941	1,193	30.3%	1,030	255	24.8%	1,498	311	20.8%
Census Tract 25.04	4,153	786	18.9%	1,144	160	14.0%	1,577	250	15.9%
Census Tract 25.05	5,584	881	15.8%	1,423	224	15.7%	1,877	276	14.7%
Census Tract 25.06	5,785	666	11.5%	1,415	131	9.3%	1,920	139	7.2%
Census Tract 26.01	6,936	2,271	32.7%	1,438	461	32.1%	2,994	977	32.6%
Census Tract 26.02	5,360	1,265	23.6%	1,110	225	20.3%	2,508	596	23.8%
Census Tract 27.01	5,844	1,501	25.7%	1,194	206	17.3%	2,972	780	26.2%
Census Tract 27.02	4,867	989	20.3%	1,067	132	12.4%	2,567	406	15.8%
Census Tract 28.01	2,580	816	31.6%	527	107	20.3%	1,281	346	27.0%
Census Tract 28.02	4,182	1,284	30.7%	970	265	27.3%	1,925	468	24.3%
Census Tract 28.03	2,096	212	10.1%	445	13	2.9%	1,033	133	12.9%
Census Tract 29.01	5,905	1,180	20.0%	1,391	250	18.0%	2,727	536	19.7%
Census Tract 29.03	5,899	795	13.5%	1,381	139	10.1%	3,009	408	13.6%

Poverty Status in 1999

Geography	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined			Families			Households		
	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined	Population With Income Below Poverty	% Population With Income Below Poverty	Total Families	Families With Income Below Poverty	% Families With Income Below Poverty	Total Households	Households With Income Below Poverty	% Households With Income Below Poverty
Census Tract 29.04	3,288	232	7.1%	811	36	4.4%	1,752	95	5.4%
Census Tract 30.01	5,869	874	14.9%	1,315	134	10.2%	3,265	433	13.3%
Census Tract 30.02	4,403	352	8.0%	1,120	66	5.9%	2,147	183	8.5%
Census Tract 31.01	6,201	1,670	26.9%	1,361	297	21.8%	2,991	709	23.7%
Census Tract 31.02	3,775	856	22.7%	880	157	17.8%	1,802	375	20.8%
Census Tract 32	6,085	1,135	18.7%	1,471	205	13.9%	2,957	517	17.5%
Census Tract 33.01	7,400	1,083	14.6%	1,756	229	13.0%	3,787	533	14.1%
Census Tract 33.02	4,119	313	7.6%	1,126	53	4.7%	1,683	140	8.3%
Census Tract 34	5,950	504	8.5%	1,544	95	6.2%	2,452	223	9.1%
Census Tract 35.01	8,588	1,937	22.6%	2,060	398	19.3%	3,098	655	21.1%
Census Tract 35.02	4,620	1,051	22.7%	1,152	220	19.1%	1,951	392	20.1%
Census Tract 35.03	4,555	809	17.8%	1,114	162	14.5%	1,611	276	17.1%
Census Tract 35.04	2,848	365	12.8%	762	80	10.5%	1,043	106	10.2%
Census Tract 36	6,244	928	14.9%	1,597	212	13.3%	1,770	271	15.3%
Census Tract 37.01	8,013	2,695	33.6%	1,959	621	31.7%	2,695	812	30.1%
Census Tract 37.02	6,812	2,706	39.7%	1,512	564	37.3%	1,962	714	36.4%
Census Tract 37.04	4,410	1,072	24.3%	1,046	208	19.9%	1,341	283	21.1%
Census Tract 37.05	5,420	864	15.9%	1,305	196	15.0%	1,563	284	18.2%
Census Tract 38.01	4,276	1,468	34.3%	1,009	317	31.4%	1,214	420	34.6%
Census Tract 38.02	5,438	1,566	28.8%	1,289	351	27.2%	1,549	415	26.8%
Census Tract 39.01	2,384	423	17.7%	525	73	13.9%	603	84	13.9%
Census Tract 39.02	2,942	732	24.9%	706	153	21.7%	852	177	20.8%
Census Tract 39.03	3,374	663	19.7%	852	137	16.1%	966	159	16.5%
Census Tract 40.01	6,638	843	12.7%	1,654	150	9.1%	3,428	348	10.2%
Census Tract 40.08	4,914	420	8.5%	1,338	94	7.0%	1,990	187	9.4%
Census Tract 40.10	3,519	298	8.5%	884	65	7.4%	1,655	144	8.7%
Census Tract 40.11	6,773	661	9.8%	1,825	111	6.1%	2,766	259	9.4%
Census Tract 40.15	6,939	570	8.2%	1,683	82	4.9%	3,257	245	7.5%
Census Tract 40.22	5,943	213	3.6%	1,779	59	3.3%	2,491	86	3.5%
Census Tract 40.25	6,252	138	2.2%	1,888	29	1.5%	2,455	47	1.9%
Census Tract 40.26	4,129	175	4.2%	1,232	26	2.1%	1,600	66	4.1%

Poverty Status in 1999

Geography	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined			Families			Households		
	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined	Population With Income Below Poverty	% Population With Income Below Poverty	Total Families	Families With Income Below Poverty	% Families With Income Below Poverty	Total Households	Households With Income Below Poverty	% Households With Income Below Poverty
Census Tract 40.29	4,609	447	9.7%	1,216	97	8.0%	1,566	117	7.5%
Census Tract 40.30	1,876	31	1.7%	585	0	0.0%	731	23	3.1%
Census Tract 40.31	3,615	170	4.7%	1,016	16	1.6%	1,769	95	5.4%
Census Tract 40.32	3,162	138	4.4%	859	16	1.9%	1,473	49	3.3%
Census Tract 40.33	3,988	815	20.4%	1,036	194	18.7%	1,693	281	16.6%
Census Tract 40.34	3,696	414	11.2%	1,037	88	8.5%	1,336	128	9.6%
Census Tract 40.35	3,287	332	10.1%	887	41	4.6%	1,554	133	8.6%
Census Tract 40.36	2,749	231	8.4%	800	51	6.4%	1,015	59	5.8%
Census Tract 40.37	3,062	264	8.6%	821	43	5.2%	1,130	84	7.4%
Census Tract 40.38	3,015	237	7.9%	844	71	8.4%	1,133	80	7.1%
Census Tract 40.39	2,426	251	10.3%	538	19	3.5%	1,573	224	14.2%
Census Tract 40.40	6,979	602	8.6%	1,679	86	5.1%	3,564	285	8.0%
Census Tract 40.41	1,193	199	16.7%	304	28	9.2%	361	33	9.1%
Census Tract 40.42	4,275	130	3.0%	1,188	29	2.4%	1,816	60	3.3%
Census Tract 40.43	3,517	244	6.9%	1,059	62	5.9%	1,303	70	5.4%
Census Tract 40.44	3,845	71	1.8%	1,196	9	0.8%	1,467	46	3.1%
Census Tract 40.45	1,985	19	1.0%	613	0	0.0%	692	3	0.4%
Census Tract 40.46	4,535	252	5.6%	1,194	40	3.4%	1,970	119	6.0%
Census Tract 40.47	3,179	144	4.5%	961	22	2.3%	1,244	40	3.2%
Census Tract 40.48	4,637	211	4.6%	1,389	61	4.4%	1,845	69	3.7%
Census Tract 40.49	2,516	212	8.4%	707	51	7.2%	1,101	62	5.6%
Census Tract 40.50	2,057	67	3.3%	635	17	2.7%	731	17	2.3%
Census Tract 40.51	4,876	105	2.2%	1,430	22	1.5%	1,761	29	1.6%
Census Tract 40.52	1,680	76	4.5%	561	27	4.8%	646	27	4.2%
Census Tract 40.53	3,119	56	1.8%	942	12	1.3%	1,211	34	2.8%
Census Tract 40.54	1,610	0	0.0%	516	0	0.0%	598	0	0.0%
Census Tract 40.55	3,195	154	4.8%	941	33	3.5%	1,273	60	4.7%
Census Tract 40.56	2,125	144	6.8%	607	30	4.9%	756	61	8.1%
Census Tract 40.57	4,965	233	4.7%	1,373	39	2.8%	1,747	96	5.5%
Census Tract 40.58	4,322	220	5.1%	1,239	33	2.7%	1,624	64	3.9%
Census Tract 40.59	3,394	154	4.5%	1,007	40	4.0%	1,238	50	4.0%

Poverty Status in 1999

Geography	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined			Families			Households		
	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined	Population With Income Below Poverty	% Population With Income Below Poverty	Total Families	Families With Income Below Poverty	% Families With Income Below Poverty	Total Households	Households With Income Below Poverty	% Households With Income Below Poverty
Census Tract 40.60	11,157	251	2.2%	3,133	32	1.0%	3,682	77	2.1%
Census Tract 40.61	2,001	64	3.2%	560	0	0.0%	694	28	4.0%
Census Tract 41.04	6,750	2,308	34.2%	1,532	428	27.9%	2,262	622	27.5%
Census Tract 41.05	3,828	327	8.5%	1,160	82	7.1%	1,646	152	9.2%
Census Tract 41.06	3,665	892	24.3%	874	174	19.9%	1,075	184	17.1%
Census Tract 41.07	3,072	331	10.8%	901	43	4.8%	1,152	87	7.6%
Census Tract 41.08	2,336	244	10.4%	637	43	6.8%	969	85	8.8%
Census Tract 41.09	4,180	235	5.6%	1,261	57	4.5%	1,611	112	7.0%
Census Tract 41.10	3,765	485	12.9%	894	100	11.2%	1,034	135	13.1%
Census Tract 41.11	5,732	2,032	35.5%	1,418	460	32.4%	1,800	542	30.1%
Census Tract 41.12	2,924	587	20.1%	689	112	16.3%	844	154	18.2%
Census Tract 43.07	3,298	93	2.8%	1,223	24	2.0%	1,920	61	3.2%
Census Tract 43.10	1,121	221	19.7%	287	51	17.8%	437	68	15.6%
Census Tract 43.11	3,690	431	11.7%	965	82	8.5%	1,241	137	11.0%
Census Tract 43.12	5,830	765	13.1%	1,428	130	9.1%	1,846	199	10.8%
Census Tract 43.13	4,679	1,050	22.4%	1,174	216	18.4%	1,580	290	18.4%
Census Tract 43.14	3,578	235	6.6%	1,033	52	5.0%	1,288	80	6.2%
Census Tract 43.15	5,997	146	2.4%	2,437	38	1.6%	3,278	101	3.1%
Census Tract 43.16	3,876	691	17.8%	1,036	157	15.2%	1,454	238	16.4%
Census Tract 43.17	2,829	104	3.7%	978	17	1.7%	1,743	75	4.3%
Census Tract 43.18	4,993	158	3.2%	1,698	36	2.1%	3,007	112	3.7%
Census Tract 43.19	4,237	797	18.8%	1,024	188	18.4%	1,261	233	18.5%
Census Tract 43.20	2,619	762	29.1%	646	173	26.8%	815	260	31.9%
Census Tract 43.21	3,605	170	4.7%	919	40	4.4%	1,122	57	5.1%
Census Tract 43.22	5,185	458	8.8%	1,325	101	7.6%	1,551	121	7.8%
Census Tract 44.04	2,973	219	7.4%	1,016	55	5.4%	1,735	113	6.5%
Census Tract 44.07	5,390	1,153	21.4%	1,342	240	17.9%	1,790	379	21.2%
Census Tract 44.09	9,272	749	8.1%	2,558	124	4.8%	3,366	193	5.7%
Census Tract 44.10	8,185	749	9.2%	2,227	163	7.3%	2,964	270	9.1%
Census Tract 44.11	3,241	286	8.8%	863	19	2.2%	1,454	128	8.8%
Census Tract 44.12	2,372	264	11.1%	598	55	9.2%	1,016	94	9.3%

Poverty Status in 1999

Geography	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined			Families			Households		
	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined	Population With Income Below Poverty	% Population With Income Below Poverty	Total Families	Families With Income Below Poverty	% Families With Income Below Poverty	Total Households	Households With Income Below Poverty	% Households With Income Below Poverty
Census Tract 44.13	3,213	193	6.0%	975	47	4.8%	1,248	64	5.1%
Census Tract 44.14	3,010	562	18.7%	709	57	8.0%	1,127	156	13.8%
Census Tract 44.15	1,458	98	6.7%	392	21	5.4%	685	41	6.0%
Census Tract 44.16	8,787	188	2.1%	2,553	38	1.5%	3,183	87	2.7%
Census Tract 44.17	8,022	569	7.1%	2,203	89	4.0%	3,229	224	6.9%
Census Tract 44.18	2,747	143	5.2%	843	26	3.1%	1,047	43	4.1%
Census Tract 44.19	5,364	610	11.4%	1,414	127	9.0%	1,804	199	11.0%
Census Tract 44.20	2,148	322	15.0%	568	81	14.3%	800	129	16.1%
Census Tract 45.04	5,806	1,206	20.8%	1,489	253	17.0%	2,706	473	17.5%
Census Tract 45.05	3,607	559	15.5%	969	124	12.8%	1,669	261	15.6%
Census Tract 45.06	4,778	872	18.3%	1,280	218	17.0%	1,803	321	17.8%
Census Tract 45.07	7,277	904	12.4%	1,882	189	10.0%	3,096	368	11.9%
Census Tract 45.08	4,643	952	20.5%	1,199	213	17.8%	2,164	388	17.9%
Census Tract 45.09	7,300	2,357	32.3%	1,477	280	19.0%	3,372	992	29.4%
Census Tract 46.10	5,557	398	7.2%	1,456	84	5.8%	1,914	158	8.3%
Census Tract 46.12	7,839	324	4.1%	2,143	78	3.6%	2,760	120	4.3%
Census Tract 46.13	3,769	298	7.9%	979	62	6.3%	1,436	108	7.5%
Census Tract 46.14	3,292	118	3.6%	874	7	0.8%	1,167	44	3.8%
Census Tract 46.15	3,185	112	3.5%	890	19	2.1%	1,259	38	3.0%
Census Tract 46.16	2,296	224	9.8%	518	17	3.3%	966	75	7.8%
Census Tract 46.17	2,830	258	9.1%	710	54	7.6%	1,524	124	8.1%
Census Tract 46.18	2,948	266	9.0%	785	56	7.1%	1,381	96	7.0%
Census Tract 46.19	3,406	141	4.1%	984	7	0.7%	1,543	64	4.1%
Census Tract 46.20	1,656	96	5.8%	503	28	5.6%	774	42	5.4%
Census Tract 46.21	1,806	97	5.4%	543	28	5.2%	964	47	4.9%
Census Tract 46.22	3,051	232	7.6%	827	56	6.8%	1,318	148	11.2%
Census Tract 46.23	4,636	124	2.7%	1,371	32	2.3%	1,867	57	3.1%
Census Tract 46.24	5,081	107	2.1%	1,440	5	0.3%	1,766	40	2.3%
Census Tract 46.25	1,824	63	3.5%	536	13	2.4%	929	29	3.1%
Census Tract 46.26	3,137	466	14.9%	725	128	17.7%	1,544	220	14.2%
Census Tract 46.27	2,983	144	4.8%	783	30	3.8%	1,059	40	3.8%

Poverty Status in 1999

Geography	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined			Families			Households		
	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined	Population With Income Below Poverty	% Population With Income Below Poverty	Total Families	Families With Income Below Poverty	% Families With Income Below Poverty	Total Households	Households With Income Below Poverty	% Households With Income Below Poverty
Census Tract 46.28	1,363	0	0.0%	428	0	0.0%	491	0	0.0%
Census Tract 46.29	7,527	261	3.5%	2,033	45	2.2%	2,413	101	4.2%
Census Tract 46.30	1,561	16	1.0%	398	0	0.0%	559	9	1.6%
Census Tract 46.31	3,659	111	3.0%	1,108	19	1.7%	1,353	34	2.5%
Census Tract 46.32	2,569	82	3.2%	722	16	2.2%	873	16	1.8%
Census Tract 46.33	2,820	79	2.8%	828	16	1.9%	1,007	16	1.6%
Census Tract 46.34	934	9	1.0%	274	0	0.0%	352	0	0.0%
Census Tract 46.35	4,358	141	3.2%	1,338	43	3.2%	1,691	61	3.6%
Census Tract 46.36	5,334	68	1.3%	1,585	22	1.4%	1,778	31	1.7%
Census Tract 46.37	9,840	366	3.7%	3,449	116	3.4%	4,316	166	3.8%
Census Tract 46.38	2,228	166	7.5%	820	67	8.2%	957	75	7.8%
Census Tract 46.39	856	69	8.1%	207	14	6.8%	269	14	5.2%
Census Tract 47.03	7,129	518	7.3%	1,906	90	4.7%	3,367	329	9.8%
Census Tract 47.10	4,056	771	19.0%	725	57	7.9%	2,270	390	17.2%
Census Tract 47.11	3,632	191	5.3%	1,067	32	3.0%	1,758	112	6.4%
Census Tract 47.12	3,078	220	7.1%	856	30	3.5%	1,406	117	8.3%
Census Tract 47.13	3,562	137	3.8%	1,131	29	2.6%	1,642	91	5.5%
Census Tract 47.14	5,894	170	2.9%	1,699	35	2.1%	2,492	73	2.9%
Census Tract 47.15	5,204	548	10.5%	1,408	106	7.5%	1,850	192	10.4%
Census Tract 47.16	4,034	235	5.8%	1,216	70	5.8%	1,807	92	5.1%
Census Tract 47.17	2,179	59	2.7%	717	5	0.7%	1,035	24	2.3%
Census Tract 47.18	2,349	211	9.0%	636	57	9.0%	1,110	72	6.5%
Census Tract 47.19	6,293	285	4.5%	1,902	46	2.4%	2,544	105	4.1%
Census Tract 47.20	1,432	34	2.4%	463	9	1.9%	776	26	3.4%
Census Tract 47.21	2,827	222	7.9%	696	40	5.7%	1,465	112	7.6%
Census Tract 47.22	2,708	52	1.9%	906	0	0.0%	1,278	22	1.7%
Census Tract 47.23	5,112	118	2.3%	1,406	28	2.0%	2,299	36	1.6%
Census Tract 47.24	2,381	30	1.3%	813	7	0.9%	1,013	22	2.2%
Census Tract 49	187	13	7.0%	36	0	0.0%	112	9	8.0%
Census Tract 50	3,710	827	22.3%	1,129	186	16.5%	1,647	321	19.5%
Census Tract 51	3,273	1,435	43.8%	688	277	40.3%	741	315	42.5%

Poverty Status in 1999

Geography	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined			Families			Households		
	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined	Population With Income Below Poverty	% Population With Income Below Poverty	Total Families	Families With Income Below Poverty	% Families With Income Below Poverty	Total Households	Households With Income Below Poverty	% Households With Income Below Poverty
Census Tract 9406	1,235	651	52.7%	247	129	52.2%	358	194	54.2%
Census Tract 9407	1,512	900	59.5%	315	174	55.2%	396	207	52.3%
Census Tract 9408	4,659	2,191	47.0%	958	406	42.4%	1,228	574	46.7%
Census Tract 9409	1,921	479	24.9%	465	81	17.4%	525	113	21.5%
Pima County Zip Codes									
85653	10,402	1,225	11.8%	2,961	283	9.6%	3,759	407	10.8%
85701	4,502	1,472	32.7%	746	173	23.2%	2,323	756	32.5%
85704	26,750	2,025	7.6%	7,088	360	5.1%	12,297	1,008	8.2%
85705	54,417	13,939	25.6%	12,542	2,655	21.2%	24,136	5,811	24.1%
85706	65,352	16,890	25.8%	15,820	3,515	22.2%	20,173	4,565	22.6%
85708	5,771	611	10.6%	1,479	123	8.3%	1,548	130	8.4%
85710	54,047	4,299	8.0%	14,287	785	5.5%	24,709	1,934	7.8%
85711	42,717	7,249	17.0%	10,596	1,484	14.0%	17,902	2,806	15.7%
85712	31,547	5,382	17.1%	7,236	968	13.4%	16,141	2,477	15.3%
85713	45,993	12,530	27.2%	11,221	2,405	21.4%	15,911	3,574	22.5%
85714	14,324	4,388	30.6%	3,349	983	29.4%	4,365	1,306	29.9%
85715	15,974	855	5.4%	4,533	110	2.4%	6,873	320	4.7%
85716	33,174	6,604	19.9%	7,515	1,062	14.1%	16,420	2,827	17.2%
85718	26,208	1,562	6.0%	7,401	215	2.9%	12,102	780	6.4%
85719	37,244	10,914	29.3%	6,801	1,022	15.0%	18,278	5,211	28.5%
85730	38,847	3,453	8.9%	10,694	706	6.6%	14,666	1,171	8.0%
85735	8,204	799	9.7%	2,208	174	7.9%	2,931	283	9.7%
85736	4,677	1,054	22.5%	1,170	215	18.4%	1,574	291	18.5%
85737	30,125	1,024	3.4%	9,485	258	2.7%	12,376	438	3.5%
85739	12,086	863	7.1%	4,104	188	4.6%	5,181	309	6.0%
85741	31,496	1,800	5.7%	8,467	400	4.7%	11,869	715	6.0%
85742	22,072	719	3.3%	6,240	152	2.4%	7,827	257	3.3%
85743	18,562	826	4.4%	5,249	132	2.5%	6,773	260	3.8%
85745	30,722	4,103	13.4%	8,070	759	9.4%	12,014	1,503	12.5%
85746	44,445	7,177	16.1%	11,064	1,514	13.7%	14,008	1,955	14.0%

Poverty Status in 1999

Geography	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined			Families			Households		
	Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined	Population With Income Below Poverty	% Population With Income Below Poverty	Total Families	Families With Income Below Poverty	% Families With Income Below Poverty	Total Households	Households With Income Below Poverty	% Households With Income Below Poverty
85747	12,821	311	2.4%	3,670	50	1.4%	4,313	95	2.2%
85748	15,332	607	4.0%	4,548	122	2.7%	6,059	230	3.8%
85749	18,429	541	2.9%	5,586	126	2.3%	6,847	187	2.7%
85750	24,569	849	3.5%	7,249	152	2.1%	10,810	348	3.2%